

A Comparative Review of Machine Learning Approaches for Manufacturing Applications in Industry 4.0

¹ Mr. Veeru Paswan, ² Dr. Shalu Gupta, ³ Ms. Gurleen

¹Student, Department of Computer Applications, Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda

²Associate Professor, Department of Computer Applications, Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda

³Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Applications, Bhai Asa Singh Girls College, Goniana Mandi.

Email ID: ¹ paswanveeru914@gmail.com

Accepted: 10.04.2026

Published: 30.04.2026

Page No. 17 – 21

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19908584

Abstract

Industry 4.0 has transformed the way modern manufacturing is being approached with the interplay of cyber physical systems, industrial internet of things (IIoT), cloud edge computing, and data driven intelligence. Machine learning (ML) has become one of the core technologies to enable the derivation of actionable insights out of heterogeneous manufacturing data. This paper provides a longer and comparative analysis of ML and deep learning (DL) algorithms with supervised, unsupervised, semi supervised, reinforcement learning and hybrid algorithms used in basic manufacturing areas like predictive maintenance, quality inspection and defect detection, process optimization, production planning and supply chain management. The review compares the performance of algorithms, the computational complexity, interpretability, and deployability based on a systematic review of the literature published since 2015. To increase methodological clarity, mathematical expressions of popular modeling, such as regression, support vector machines, convolutional neural networks (CNNs), and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks, are provided. The new areas of transfer learning, federated learning, edge AI, and explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) are presented in the framework of industrial scalability and reliability. This paper concludes that the key to closing the gap between

laboratory scale ML models and real-world smart manufacturing systems lies in context aware model selection, hybrid, and explainable frameworks.

Keywords: Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Industry 4.0, Predictive Maintenance, Quality Inspection, Smart Manufacturing

1. Introduction

Industry 4.0, also known as Industry 4.0, is a paradigm shift in the manufacturing industry that is characterized by the interplay of digital technologies, automation, and intelligent decision-making systems. State-of-the-art sensing, IIoT devices, and cyber physical systems keep on producing immense amounts of data that are in high volume, high velocity, and high variety in the production environments [1]. The strategic need to utilize this data well has become a strategic requirement in the attainment of efficiency in operations, quality of products and sustainability.

Machine learning (ML) is an artificial intelligence (AI) that is at the center of converting raw manufacturing data into predictive and prescriptive intelligence [2]. Complex patterns can be learned by data using ML algorithms, so no explicit rule-based programming is required. Deep learning (DL) is a special branch of ML that expands this ability by automatically deriving hierarchical features of images, signals, and time series data with multi-layer neural networks [3].

ML driven solutions have proved to be highly advantageous in manufacturing in the form of shorter downtime by forecasting the necessity to perform maintenance, higher accuracy in defect detection, better process parameter optimization, and robust supply chain activities. Nevertheless, the issues of imbalance of data, interpretability of models, constraints in computations, and compatibility with legacy systems still hamper large scale implementation.

Significant contributions of this review are:

- Systematic and comparative evaluation of the ML and DL methods in various manufacturing applications.
- Addition of mathematical equations to aid in the comprehension of algorithms.
- Determination of performance trade-offs between conventional ML and DL methods.
- Emerging research directions related to sustainable and intelligent manufacturing were discussed.

The rest of the paper is structured in the following way: Section II explains the review methodology. Section III introduces the ML paradigms that have a mathematical basis. Section IV talks of CNNs and sequence models. Section V gives comparative analysis application wise. The last part of the paper is the section VI which ends with future research directions.

2. Review Methodology

This paper is done using a systematic literature review (SLR) approach to facilitate transparency and reproducibility. Keywords such as machine learning in manufacturing, deep learning defect detection, and predictive maintenance Industry 4.0 were used to query major scholarly databases, such as IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, Wiley Online Library, and Google Scholar.

Manifold Publications published between 2015 and 2025 were taken into consideration to include

the foundational and the recent developments. Inclusion criteria were based on peer reviewed journal articles and reputed conference proceedings describing empirical use of ML in manufacturing. Following the pre-screening of over 150 articles, about 90 high impact studies were identified based on relevance, frequency of citation as well as methodological rigor.

The chosen literature was divided into the field of application, ML paradigm, type of data, and measures of evaluation. This temporal analysis shows that the number of publications rapidly grows after 2020, which is associated with a faster adoption of Industry 4.0 and further developments of the DL frameworks [4].

3. Machine Learning Paradigms and Mathematical Foundations

The techniques of manufacturing based on ML can be characterized into supervised, unsupervised, semi supervised, and reinforcement learning [5].

A. Supervised Learning: Supervised is a learning method that is based on labeled datasets and is commonly applied to regression and classification problems.

Linear regression model can be defined as:

$$\hat{y} = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i x_i$$

where (x_i) represents input features and β_i are model parameters.

The aim of the Support Vector Machines (SVMs) is to determine an optimal hyperplane by solving:

$$\min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i$$

subject to margin constraints, making them effective for small and medium sized datasets.

B. Unsupervised Learning: Unsupervised learning methods like dimensionality reduction and

clustering are used where there are no labeled data. The K means clustering goal is:

$$J = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{x \in C_k} \|x - \mu_k\|^2$$

where μ_k denotes the centroid of cluster C_k .

C. Reinforcement Learning: Reinforcement learning (RL) is a framework of sequential decision-making problems that employs a framework based on a reward. The best policy is that which maximizes the expected total reward:

$$R_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k}$$

where γ is the discount factor. RL is increasingly applied in robotic assembly and adaptive process control.

D. Deep Learning: DL models build upon ML paradigms with deep neural networks, which have the power to extract features automatically [6].

4. Convolutional and Sequential Neural Networks

The most popular DL architecture in image-based manufacturing tasks like detecting surface defects is the Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) [7]. The mathematical definition of convolution operation is:

$$(F * K)(i, j) = \sum_m \sum_n F(i + m, j + n) K(m, n)$$

where F is the input feature map and K is the convolution kernel.

Advanced CNN variants such as ResNet, YOLO, and Mask R CNN have achieved detection accuracies exceeding 95% in real time industrial inspection systems [8], [9].

For sequential sensor data, Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks model temporal

dependencies using gated mechanisms. The LSTM cell state update is given by:

$$C_t = f_t \odot C_{t-1} + i_t \odot \tilde{C}_t$$

where f_t and i_t represent forget and input gates, respectively [10].

5. Applications and Comparative Analysis

A. Predictive Maintenance: Predictive maintenance uses ML models to predict health and useful life (RUL) of the equipment. Research shows that with ML based maintenance strategies, 30-50 percent reduction in downtimes has been achieved [11]. The LSTM and CNN-LSTM hybrids are better in multivariate time series data than the traditional methods [12], whereas the Random Forest (RF) and SVM are also competitive on engineered features [13].

B. Quality Inspection and Defect Detection: CNN based visual inspection systems perform at state of the art, with accuracies of up to 99% on benchmark data sets like steel surface defects [15], [16]. DL carries much less overhead in manual feature engineering compared to the traditional ML [17].

C. Process Optimization and Supply Chain Management: Additive manufacturing and process parameter optimization use reinforcement learning and RF models [18]. Inventory classification and optimization of logistics are supported by unsupervised learning [19].

Comparative Summary:

- DL models are ideal in high dimensional and unstructured data cases.
- Traditional ML is interpretable and less costly to compute.
- Hybrid and transfer learning models overcomes data scarcity and domain adaptation issues [20].

The main obstacles are the imbalance in data, the computational overhead, and integration of the legacy system. The latest ones are federated learning, explainable AI, and edge-based inference [21].

6. Conclusion and Future Directions

This review has shown that both ML and DL are now essential components in Industry 4.0 manufacturing. CNNs prevail in visual inspection and LSTM based architecture prevails in predictive maintenance. Nevertheless, the use of industrial adoption must be a balance between accuracy, interpretability, and scalability.

Future research directions are:

- Regulatory-compliant, explainable, and trustworthy ML.
- Interoperability with digital twins and next generation (6G capable) IIoT.
- Energy efficient and sustainable ML models in green manufacturing [22].

References

- [1] Z. Kang, C. Catal, and B. Tekinerdogan, "Machine learning applications in production lines: A systematic literature review," *Comput. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 149, p. 106773, Nov. 2020.
- [2] M. Bertolini, D. Mezzogori, M. Neroni, and F. Zammori, "Machine learning for industrial applications: A comprehensive literature review," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 175, p. 114820, Aug. 2021.
- [3] J. Wang, Y. Ma, L. Zhang, Y. Gao, and Q. Wu, "Deep learning for smart manufacturing: Methods and applications," *J. Manuf. Syst.*, vol. 48, pp. 144–156, Jul. 2018.
- [4] Kumar, R. (2019). *Machine learning: Concept, deep learning, and applications*. Wireless Communication and Mathematics, 49.
- [5] S. Fahle, C. Prinz, and B. Kuhlenkötter, "Systematic review on machine learning (ML) methods for manufacturing processes," *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 93, pp. 25–30, 2020.
- [6] T. Sobottka, A. Rossi, and G. Bianchi, "Potential for machine learning in optimized production planning," *Procedia Manuf.*, vol. 33, pp. 410–417, 2019.
- [7] L. Deng and D. Yu, "Deep learning: Methods and applications," *Found. Trends Signal Process.*, vol. 7, no. 3–4, pp. 197–387, 2014.
- [8] X. Tao, J. Zheng, and L. Sun, "A survey of surface defect detection methods based on deep learning," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 12346–12368, 2021.
- [9] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, "Deep residual learning for image recognition," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. (CVPR)*, 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [10] J. Redmon, S. Divvala, R. Girshick, and A. Farhadi, "You only look once: Unified, real time object detection," in *Proc. IEEE Conference Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. (CVPR)*, 2016, pp. 779–788.
- [11] K. He, G. Gkioxari, P. Dollár, and R. Girshick, "Mask R CNN," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference Comput. Vis. (ICCV)*, 2017, pp. 2961–2969.
- [12] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber, "Long short-term memory," *Neural Comput.*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1735–1780, Nov. 1997.
- [13] Achouch, M. Cherif, and L. Boulmane, "Predictive maintenance and fault monitoring enabled by machine learning," *Sensors*, vol. 25, no. 4, p. 1006, Feb. 2025.
- [14] Ayvaz and K. Alpay, "Predictive maintenance system for production lines: A machine learning approach," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 173, p. 114598, Jul. 2021.
- [15] PixelPlex, "7 Applications of Machine Learning in Manufacturing in 2025," *PixelPlex Blog*, 2025. [Online]. Available:

- [https://pixelplex.io/blog/machine learning in manufacturing](https://pixelplex.io/blog/machine-learning-in-manufacturing)
- [16] S. Gupta, Y. J. Singh, and M. Kumar, "Object detection using multiple shape-based features," in Proc. International Conference Parallel, Distrib. Grid Comput. (PDGC), Dec. 2016, pp. 433–437.
- [17] Y. J. Singh and S. Gupta, "Glowing window-based feature extraction technique for object detection," in Proc. International Conference Data Manag. Anal. Innovation, Jan. 2020.
- [18] H. Bao, D. Xiong, and S. Li, "A machine learning fatigue life prediction approach," Eng. Fract. Mech., vol. 242, p. 107467, 2021.
- [19] G. Vashishtha, A. Choudhary, and P. Kumar, "Current applications of machine learning in additive manufacturing," Arch. Comput. Methods Eng., vol. 32, pp. 2635–2668, 2025.
- [20] Tufano, M. Rossi, and L. Santini, "Machine learning methods to improve operations of 3PL logistics," Procedia Manuf., vol. 42, pp. 452–459, 2020.
- [21] S. Gupta and Y. J. Singh, "Object detection using peak, balanced division point and shape-based features," in 6th International Conference Data Management Analysis Innovation, Jan. 2022.
- [22] Kumar, R. (2025, July–August). Smart system, smarter world: A review of ML and DL innovation since 2018. International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, 7(4). <https://doi.org/g9vpwt>
- [23] S. Gupta, H. Singh, and Y. J. Singh, "Comprehensive study on edge detection," in International Conference Communication, Electronics. Digital. Technology (NICE 2023), Feb. 2023.
- [24] V. D. Oord, S. Dieleman, H. Zen, et al., "WaveNet: A generative model for raw audio," arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.03499, 2016.